ANATOMY OF A BACKDRAFT

Pierre-Louis LAMBALLAIS $V - 2.00$

«The fire of contents, and not of a container »

Introduction

Whilst it is common to see photographs or video clips of backdraft, rare are the examples of step by step analysis of the phenomenon.

That's what I propose to do in this document, on the basis of two videos, both taken on the technical training center of SDP2 Company, in France, in the department of Mayenne (53).

The room

The room, it is a box in 15 mm thickness agglomerate. This "room" is 35 cm in depth, 50cm width and 60cm high. The discharge system (partly higher) and the ventilation of the frontage remain closed during the two experiments described in this document. The door, located at the front, is 25 cm in height and 20 cm width. It should be noted that opening is located in such manner that it is not in the axis of the hearth, which constitutes a characteristic of the mini-houses used on this technical training center (see photo on first page).

The fire

It is composed of a newsprint sheet, of a few small pieces of small cage and some cleats. It does not comprise any synthetic element of type foams or plastic. It is lit by simple ignition of an end of the newsprint sheet. Fire is not thus poked and develops naturally with the door and the discharge system wide opened. The "traditional" experiments are carried out during the phase of heating: test of heat of smoke, then closing of the discharge system for rising temperature of the high part of the room. The first backdraft is carried out approximately 12 to 15 minutes after lighting. They are the simple result of the ignition of the smoke, made up inter alia, (like all the smoke from fires) of CO and CO2.

Time unit

The video stills display up to 15 images per second. The notation thus leaves the principle that 3,8 means that we are at the 8th image after the beginning of the 3rd second. Thus 3,15 is equivalent to 4.

Vidéo 1 - Backdraft without wind

After a warming-up time of the volume of approximately 15 minutes, the backdraft was carried out in the open air, with no wind.

The video starts whereas the door has been just closed by the instructor.

 $\overline{}$

This stage, we thus have a gravity current with exit of smoke by the top and entry of air by bottom. The fresh air, which returns, changes the mixture to some extent by diluting the gas, which at this stage is very concentrated and overheated: being too rich, it cannot take ignite. The fresh air gradually reduces the mixture below the upper flammable limit. The partly higher air flow causes the exit of the smoke, which balances volume. Placed in the opening, a firefighter would feel the fresh air to penetrate in the room, at the level of his legs, and would see this surge of air by the movement of the smoke on the level of the ground. The firefighter would also see the smoke escaping above him. It should be noted that the flow of fresh air, if it seems to move slightly to the top, does not move however directly towards the higher zone. It is normal, because the surrounding air with a temperature lower than the air and the smoke interiors. The fresh air is denser, it thus circulates partly low, arrives at the fire which heats it, then it goes up with the gravity current. It is what undoubtedly explains that the mixture is not diffused very quickly, contrary to what could occur if the draught "typed" directly in the very hot layer.

Evolution of the shape

This diagram shows the evolution of the shape of the smoke, since the beginning of the explosion until the end. One notices that the deformation is founded, not with a horizontal axis, but with an axis situated high-right towards low-left. The wave thus comes well the top of volume, where the concentration of gases was at their greatest, and/or originated the explosion.

The volume

Volume oh the box

V = L x H x P = 0,47 x 0,57 x ,035 = 0,093765 m³ Volume of the spherical wave of explosion V = 4/3 x PI x r³ = 4/3 x PI x 0,25 ³ = 0,065449 m³

First analysis: overpressure.

It is obvious there is a significant overpressure in the room. This is contained at a certain time, a volume of 0.093765 m $3 + 0.065449$ m 3 for a container of only 0,093765 m3. This in spite of the fact that a significant quantity of smoke escaped during time from opening from the door.

Second analysis: relative dimensions of the sphere and the room

Relationship between interior volume and the sphere: $0.093765 / 0.065449 = 1.4326 m³$

Let us imagine that we have a 4 m x 3 m width and 2,50 m height of ceiling, therefore a small room. We obtain a total volume of:

 $V = L \times H \times P = 4 \times 2.50 \times 3 = 30$ m³

By applying the same report/ratio, we obtain a "potential" explosive sphere of:

V-Sphère = $30 / 1,4326 = 26,48$ m³

Let us calculate dimensions of the sphere which would contain this volume:

 $V = 4/3 \times P1 \times r^3$

So:

 r^3 = V / (4/3 x PI) = 26,48 / (4/3 x PI) = 26,48 / 4,18879 = 6,3216 m

So, r = cubic root of 6,3216 = 1,84902 m

Knowing that we have the ray, we deduce from it that the sphere will have a diameter of approximately **3,70 m.**

On the video, the sphere exceeds downwards: the mini-house being on a support, nothing prevents the expansion of the sphere of explosion, under the level of the ground. In reality, this quantity of gas would be added to the higher part of the sphere, increasing the size of this one compared to firefighters, in front of the opening.

Moreover, we speak here only about one explosion which, even if it reaches rather significant dimensions, results however only from one extremely 'average' fire, without foam, without synthetic matter: the fire created in the mini-house is made up only of paper, with some small wood parts.

In the same way, this explosion is very "clean": no collapse, no projectiles. In reality, the damage is largely higher, to quote only the roof of the Lutherien church St John which raised of three meters before falling down, following a backdraft (USA, February 2004).

A fire in an office or shelters of garden...

By carrying out the same type of calculation, while preserving the assumption of a very simple fire, the explosion resulting from a room of the office type of 10 m X 10m X 2,50 m (several compartments etc.) might generate an explosive sphere of:

 $r =$ cubic root (10x10x2,5) / (4/3xPI) = 3,90 thus meadows 8 meters in diameter, is more than 3 stages...

At the other end, a fire in a garden shed of 1 m X 1.50 m out of 2.50 m in height. would nevertheless generate an explosive sphere 1,80 m in diameter, that is to say the height of a man, upright in the frame, and this with a fire resulting from a few pieces of wood.

The danger is thus quite real, and whatever dimensions of the room, even in the case of a very small room, the explosive effect largely exceeds what a man could support. The PPE of the firefighter and the provision of capable hose-lines are thus imperative, whatever sized room is approached.

Note: it seems that the calculation of the report/ratio of size between the room and the "sphere", even if it appears to give significant dimensions for this "sphere", is below reality. Indeed, released heat and the volume of smoke produced by a simple sheet of paper and a few small pieces of wood, are without common measurement with the thermal energy which the furniture of a dining-room or a bed-room could release.

The propagation velocity

The video sequence was filmed with a numerical material, ensuring a flow of 15 images a second. Knowing that the two images above are followed, we note a propagation of $33-25 = 8$ cm in 1/15th of a second.

Thus a speed of $8 \times 15 = 120$ cm = 1,20 m a second. Even if we are far from the 20 m/seconds affirmed in certain documents, we are however at a speed much higher than that which a firefighter with BA can travel, which thus does not have any chance to escape if such a phenomenon occurs.

Evolution of the smoke

Progressively we note an evolution of the smoke. This evolution informs us about the activity of fire. At the beginning, the box was opened and fire ventilated rather well. The smoke which one sees on the first image is thus light. As of the closing of the box, they become more opaque but also more significant because the fire becomes under-ventilated. Then, they become white. The white smoke is the sign of pyrolysis, therefore of a fire which starts to be choked. At this time, the quantity of smoke is always significant. Then overpressure increases and the smoke leaves then more quickly. Then, with the opening of the door, we have initially the extraction of the white smoke, then this smoke becomes coloured because fire starts again with being oxygenated.

It is thus obvious that it is necessary to be very attentive with the quantity, the color, and the speed of the smoke.

Vidéo 2 - Backdraft with string wind

In this second sequence, the room is strictly identical to the preceding case, just like lighting, the time of heating etc. Only two points are different:

- 1. The angle of sight, which will allow the observation of the restarting of fire
- 2. The wind, which blows in gusting wind, towards the opening.

The door was closed, then open. The gusts of wind prevent from distinguishing the shape and formation of the smoke and prevent from seeing the partly higher extraction and the air intake by the lower part.

The explosion is finished. We can thus think that we will arrive during one time of resumption of the fire. Oddly, we will note that this recovery, which seemed very violent, in the final analysis will slow down gradually...

The wind can poke fire. But in our example, the too great force of the wind seems on the contrary to oppose the resumption of fire. This one had started to begin again following the explosion. This explosion served certainly as "rampart" with the violent wind, but once the finished explosion, the wind started again to be engulfed in the room, forcing fire to drop in mode. This fall of mode is accompanied obviously by a significant production by gas and fume, which remains in the room, in spite of the opening.

High-Pressure Backdraft

We are certainly close here to a a kind of 'high-pressure backdraft' such as very well described by Paul Grimwood: a strong wind, directed towards opening, prevents the exit of gases and compresses those inside the room. The explosion can start by the opening of one door, but the explosion is very dependent on the wind, its direction and its intensity. The face of flame is very significant, as well in volume as in power.

We can think that the wind delayed the explosion, since the wind blows against thus opening against the direction of exit of the flames. However, even if it is difficult to judge with any precision, it seems that it is the reverse which occurs. Indeed, in the first video, we had a rather regular air flow, therefore a progressive mixing. There, we had a turbulent flow, therefore a faster mixing and explosion which arrives more quickly.

Moreover, even if the second video does not show the opening of the door, it starts nevertheless just after this opening. On the first video, the door is completely opened in T=4 and the explosion begins in T=11.7, that is to say 7 seconds + 7 images later. In the second case, the explosion starts 6 seconds after the opening of the door, that is to say 1 second and half earlier.

In the same way, we have an explosion more powerful than in the first case because of the principle of the turbo compressor: in the same volume the wind compresses fuel. There is thus to burn more in the same volume, the explosion is stronger. This explosion lasts also longer: from 11.7 to 11.12 in the video first thus 6 images, and from 6.1 to 6.10 in the first explosion of the second video, therefore on 10 images.

It should be noted that here, we have a strong wind, but that the opening which was made, therefore the direction of the explosion and the face of flame, is opposed to the direction of the wind. Let us imagine a short moment that we put one opening of more reduced size, vis-a-vis the wind, then that we opened the back of the box: not only we would have had the backdraft in all its violence as in this case, but in more we would have had a face of flame propelled at the same time by the explosion and the wind. It is what occurs when a firefighter opens the door of a room whose window is open vis-a-vis the wind.

Conclusion

Both backdraft studied here, are identical in release: a room with a source of ignition, a combustible mixture + combustive saturated with fuel and which thus misses the combustive one. The opening of one opening brings missing oxygen, makes go down again the combustible mixture + combustive to the ideal proportions and it is the explosion.

Beyond this similarity of release, the phenomena prove to be different: purely explosive in one case, near to a resumption of fire in the other. But these differences surely do not represent the two only possible cases: all the mixtures are conceivable, of unimportant with most dangerous.

Few calculations extrapolating dimensions of this mini-simulator on dimensions "with human size" show that it is necessary to be proof of the greatest prudence in the access of the buildings, whatever are dimensions, the sites and the contents.

In the same way, it proves that the observation of the smoke is a significant element in the analysis and the tactical choice. The "reading of fire" is undoubtedly a requirement obliged in the training. Understand and observe, for better firefighting.

The author

Pierre-Louis LAMBALLAIS is Voluntary Firefighter in France (Sdis-53). Fire-Trainer for SDP2company, he manages the three flashover containers (CFBT complex) on SDP2 training center. He has studied the thermal accidents for several years, and takes part in the translation of documents intended for the Firefighters. Manager of the web site:http://www flashover.fr, we can write him at pl.lamballais@flashover.fr

Thanks to Franck GAVIOT-BLANC for the second reading and the remarks. Thanks to Paul GRIMWOOD for the second reading for the English version of this document.